# Case 5:16-cv-0473 4 15 L 206 Unjert 3 H Filed 08/31/16 Page 1 of 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PACE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	THE TRUE OF THE TAKE	. 01 111111 (21111.)		DEFENDA	NTS						
Roseanne Tomlinson  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Berks  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS Graham Management, LP d/b/a McDonald's, Inc.							
				Granam management, or districtionally s, the.							
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
1835 MARKET ST.,	Address, and Telephone Numbe SQUIRE/ SIDNEY L. GOL STE 515, PHILA., PA 1910 569-1999 sgold@discriml	LD & ASSOC, P.C.		Attorneys (If )	Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	ın One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP	OF P	RIN	CIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" ii	n One Box f	or Plaintif
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff			(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State  X								
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	О	2	<b>□</b> 2	Incorporated and F		<b>□</b> 5	5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	0	3	<b>□</b> 3	Foreign Nation		<b></b> 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENA	ITV	1	RAN	KRUPTCY	CVELER	RSTATU	TES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability   367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   380 Other Personal Property Damage   385 Property Damage   285 Property Damage   285 Property Damage   510 Motions to Vacate Sentence   4abeas Corpus:   530 General   535 Death Penalty   540 Mandamus & Oth   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition of Confinement	TY	LABOR  Description  LABOR  Fair Labor Standar Act  Labor/Mgmt. Rela  Railway Labor Ac  Family and Medice  Leave Act  Country Act  Leave Act  Leave Act  Leave Act  Leave Act  Leave Act  Mindigration  Ret Inc.  Security Act  Maturalization App.  Habeas Corpus -  Alien Detainee  (Prisoner Petition)  Other Immigration  Actions	ds tions lation	42   42   P	22 Appea 28 U: ROPER 20 Copyri 30 Patem 10 Trade OCIAL 51 HIA ( 62 Black 53 DIWC 55 RSI ( 64 SSID 65 RSI ( 66 CEPERA 70 Taxes or De 71 IRS	al 28 USC 158 frawal SC 157  TY RIGHTS rights t mark  SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) 2/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	375 False   400 State   410 Antit   430 Bank   450 Com   460 Depo   470 Rack   Corr.   480 Cons   5850 Secun   Exch   890 Other   891 Agric   895 Freec   Act   896 Arbit   899 Adın   Act/R   Agen   950 Cons	Claims Ac Reapportion rust s and Bank merce ortation eteer Influe upt Organiza umer Credite/Sat TV rities/Commange r Statutory / cultural Act onmental Nation of Infor- ration inistrative F teview or Acy Decision	and and altions the modities of the modities o
x   Original	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reop		Transf anothe (specif) tional sta	er dist	rict	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description of ca Employment Discriminate										
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DE	CMAND \$ 150,0	000 in e	excess		HECK YES only J <b>RY DEMAND</b> ;		in compla	int:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				D	ocke	NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	FORNEY C	F RECORD			11				-
August 31, 2016		/s/Sidney L. Gol	d, Esqui	re	X	/	1				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					1	-	-				
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUD	GE			MAG JU	DGE		

# Case 5:16-cv-047 STATING Page 2 of 15

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calcitual.					
Address of Plaintiff: 1111 Swedes Road, Apt. 4, Douglassville, PA 19518					
Address of Defendant 1428 East High Street, Pottstown, PA 19464					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1428 East High Street, Pottstown, PA 19464  (Use Reverse Side For Ad	lditional Space)				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation as	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed,R.Civ,P. 7,1(a))	Yes□ No X				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No X				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:         Judge	Data Tamainata dil				
Case Number Judge	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ar previously terminated action in this court?				
	Yes□ NoX				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior st action in this court?	uit pending or within one year previously terminated				
	Yes□ No <b>X</b>				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	umbered case pending or within one year previously				
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ NoX				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	s case filed by the same individual?				
	Yes□ No X				
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	- WA				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts				
2. ☐ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury				
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation				
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury				
5. Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)				
7. X Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability				
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos				
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases				
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)				
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases  (Please specify)					
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION Sidney L. Gold, Esquire	FICATION (Check Appropriate Category)				
I,, counsel of record do hereby certify					
X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  X Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	elief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of				
/s/Sidney L. Gold, Esquire	21374				
DATE: August 31, 2016 Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	,				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court				
except as noted above.	Anna one year previously tel minated action in this court				
/s/ Sidney L. Gold, Esquire	21374				
DATE: August 31, 2016  Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#				

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

# Case 5:16-cv-047949FED DOCTIFIED TRIFFED COSP91716 Page 3 of 15

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 1111 Swedes Road, Apt. 4, Douglassville, PA 19518	
Address of Defendant: 1428 East High Street, Pottstown, PA 19464	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1428 East High Street, Pottstown, PA	
	Side For Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent c	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.C	$\operatorname{Civ}_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\mathbb{R}} 7.1(a))$ Yes $\square$ No $X$
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No X
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following question	ons:
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or v	vithin one year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ NoX
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction action in this court?	n as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
2 December on the Late City of the Control of the C	Yes□ NoX
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No <b>X</b>
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro	se civil rights case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No X
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
<ol> <li>□ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contract</li> <li>□ FELA</li> </ol>	
	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5.  Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. X Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8.   Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases  (Please specify)	
	N CERTIFICATION
Sidney L. Gold, Esquire	(Check Appropriate Category)
I,, counsel of record do h	ereby certify:
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	wledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
X Relief other than monetary damages is sought. /s/Sidney L. Gold, Esqui	re 21374
DATE: August 31, 2016	
Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury	Attorney l.D.# y only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now p	ending or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	or arrange of the provided to minute action in this court
/s/ Sidney L. Gold, Esqui	re 21374
DATE: August 31, 2016  Attorney-at-Law	Attorney l.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

# Case 5:16-cv-04734-JFL Document 1 Filed 08/31/16 Page 4 of 15

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

<b>Felephone</b>	FAX Num	ber	E-Mail Address	
215.569.1999	215.569.3870	)	sgold@discrimlaw.r	net
Date	Attorney-a	t-law	Attorney for Plaintiff	
August 31, 2016	_/_		/s/Sidney L. Gold, Esquire	
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do no	t fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)
(e) Special Management – commonly referred to the court. (See reverse management cases.)	as complex and tha	at need specia	l or intense management by	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	lving claims for pe	rsonal injury	or property damage from	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases rec	quired to be design	ated for arbitr	ration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(b) Social Security – Case and Human Services d	s requesting reviev enying plaintiff So	v of a decision ocial Security	n of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	( )
(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	s brought under 28	8 U.S.C. § 224	11 through § 2255.	( )
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CA	ASE MANAC	GEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a (filing the complaint and seside of this form.) In the designation, that defendan	Case Management of the common and the copy on all decement that a defend the common and the comm	Track Designation of the control of	Reduction Plan of this court, couns ation Form in all civil cases at the tiet 1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and serock Designation Form specifying the ed.	me of everse g said eve on
v. GRAHAM MANAGEME McDONALD'S, INC.	ENT, LP d/b/a	: : :	NO.	
ROSEANNE TOMLINSO	ON	:	CIVIL ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROSEANNE TOMLINSON,

**CIVIL ACTION NO:** 

Plaintiff,

٧.

GRAHAM MANAGEMENT, LP d/b/a MCDONALD'S, INC.

Defendant.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

### **COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

### I. <u>PRELIMINARY STATEMENT</u>:

- 1. This is an action for an award of damages, attorney's fees and other relief on behalf of Plaintiff, Roseanne Tomlinson ("Plaintiff Tomlinson"), a former employee of Defendant, Graham Management, LP d/b/a McDonald's, Inc., who was harmed by the Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices.
- 2. This action is brought under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. §2000(e), et seq., as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, at 42 U.S.C. §1981(a), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), 43 P.S. §951 et seq.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE:

3. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked, and venue is proper in this district, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1391 as Plaintiff Tomlinson's claims are substantively based on Title VII.

- 4. The supplemental jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 to consider Plaintiff Tomlinson's claims arising under the PHRA.
- 5. All conditions precedent to the institution of this suit have been fulfilled. On June 9, 2016, a Notice of Right to Sue was issued by the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and this action has been filed within ninety (90) days of receipt of said notice.
- 6. Plaintiff Tomlinson has satisfied all other jurisdictional prerequisites to the maintenance of this action.

#### III. PARTIES:

- 7. Plaintiff, Roseanne Tomlinson ("Plaintiff Tomlinson"), is a fifty-seven (57) year old individual and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing therein at 1111 Old Swedes Road, Apt. #4, Douglassville, Pennsylvania 19518.
- 8. Defendant, Graham Management, LP d/b/a McDonald's, Inc., is a limited partnership duly organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, maintaining a place of business located at 1428 East High Street, Pottstown, Pennsylvania 19464.
- 9. At all times relevant hereto, the Defendant was acting through its agents, servants, and employees, who were acting within the scope of their authority, course of employment, and under the direct control of the Defendant.
- 10. At all times material herein, the Defendant has been a "person" and "employer" as defined under Title VII and the PHRA, and is subject to the provisions of each said Act.

### IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS:

- 11. Plaintiff Tomlinson was employed by the Defendant from in or about 2006 until on or about September 16, 2014, the date of her unlawful constructive termination.
- 12. Throughout the course of her employment with the Defendant, Plaintiff
  Tomlinson held the positions of Manager and Crew Leader and maintained a
  satisfactory job performance rating in said capacities.
- 13. Beginning in or about March of 2013, Kenny Johnson ("Johnson"), Maintenance Worker, began subjecting Plaintiff Tomlinson to a hostile work environment through various instances of uninvited and unwanted sexually-offensive remarks and sexually intimidatory conduct.
- 14. By way of example, in or about March of 2014, Johnson approached Plaintiff Tomlinson at the end of the workday and stared at her in a sexually suggestive manner. Specifically, Johnson directed a lustful gaze at Plaintiff Tomlinson's buttocks for a noticeable length of time, in a purposeful attempt to have Plaintiff Tomlinson notice said sexually suggestive gaze.
- 15. Thereafter on said date, Johnson began to follow Plaintiff Tomlinson around the workplace without a business or work-related purpose, further evidence of Johnson's desire to sexually harass Plaintiff Tomlinson via instances of sexually intimidatory conduct. In sum, Johnson began to stalk Plaintiff Tomlinson.
- 16. Thereafter, in or about late March of 2014, following a severe snowstorm, Plaintiff Tomlinson was unable to move her automobile from the Defendant's parking lot, forcing her to sleep in her vehicle on said date. As a result thereof, Johnson abruptly

knocked on Plaintiff Tomlinson's vehicle's window, demanding that she exit her vehicle and sleep at his apartment instead, implying that he desired to engage in sexual relations with Plaintiff Tomlinson at his domicile.

- 17. In response thereto, on said date, Plaintiff Tomlinson demanded that
  Johnson cease his sexually intimidatory behavior. Thereafter, Plaintiff Tomlinson exited
  her vehicle and returned to Defendant's premises, where she sought a cup of coffee.
  While Plaintiff Tomlinson retrieved said cup of coffee, Johnson stared at her through the
  window of Defendant's building in a sexually suggestive and intimidatory fashion.
- 18. Thereafter, in or about mid-April of 2014, while Plaintiff Tomlinson was conversing with Pam Nolan ("Nolan"), Customer, Johnson suddenly appeared next to Plaintiff Tomlinson, hovering over her shoulder in a sexually aggressive manner.

  Thereafter, when Plaintiff Tomlinson attempted to walk away, Johnson kissed Plaintiff Tomlinson on her cheek and without her consent.
- 19. In or about May of 2014, Johnson committed his most egregious act of sexual harassment against Plaintiff Tomlinson. Specifically, on said date, Johnson approached Plaintiff Tomlinson while she worked at the cash register. Upon confronting Plaintiff Tomlinson, Johnson stated the following sexually aggressive and lewd comments:
  - (i) "it would be good to have sex with me;"
  - (ii) "if you had sex with me you would never want another man;"
  - (iii) "I don't eat chocolate only vanilla;"
  - (iv) "You could not keep up with me in bed."

- 20. In response thereto, Plaintiff Tomlinson demanded that Johnson cease and desist his sexually aggressive commentary and conduct.
- 21. In retaliation for opposing Johnson's sexual advances, in or about late May of 2014, while Plaintiff Tomlinson was eating lunch on Defendant's premises with her boyfriend, Joe Salvatico ("Salvatico"), Johnson unexpectedly approached Plaintiff Tomlinson and demanded to "have a word" with her. Thereafter, as a result of Salvatico's presence and Johnson's realization that Plaintiff Tomlinson had a boyfriend, Johnson berated Plaintiff Tomlinson, yelling "What the hell is going on?" In response thereto, Plaintiff Tomlinson reiterated her opposition to Johnson's previous sexually aggressive conduct, informing him that "there was nothing ever between us." Immediately thereafter, as a result of Plaintiff Tomlinson's rejection of his sexual advances, Johnson sped away in his vehicle.
- 22. As a result thereof, Plaintiff Tomlinson registered a formal complaint of sexual harassment and retaliation with Steve Nagle ("Nagle"), General Manager.

  Notwithstanding Plaintiff Tomlinson's protestations, Nagle failed to take any corrective action to cause Johnson's sexual harassment and retaliatory conduct to cease.
- 23. Additionally, Plaintiff Tomlinson telephoned Marcia Graham ("M. Graham"), Owner, in an attempt to register formal complaints of sexual harassment against Johnson. Curiously, however, M. Graham failed to return Plaintiff Tomlinson's telephone calls.
- 24. On or about August 4, 2014, M. Graham arrived on Defendant's premises to conduct Plaintiff Tomlinson's performance evaluation. During said evaluation,

Plaintiff Tomlinson was finally able to register a formal complaint of sexual harassment and retaliation with M. Graham. In response thereto, M. Graham stated, "Do not call the police, call me if this happens again!" Moreover, M. Graham undertook no remedial action to cause Johnson's sexually aggressive conduct to cease.

- 25. As proof thereof, on or about August 7, 2014, Johnson approached Plaintiff Tomlinson while she worked at the cash register and shouted -- "Hey! You take my order!" in a frighteningly intimidatory and retaliatory manner.
- 26. In response thereto, Plaintiff Tomlinson began to weep uncontrollably out of fear of Johnson. Thereafter, Plaintiff Tomlinson registered a third formal complaint of retaliation with Tom LNU ("Tom LNU"), Manager, who informed M. Graham and Bruce Graham ("B. Graham"), Co-owner, of Johnson's actions against Plaintiff Tomlinson.
- 27. Thereafter on said date, M. and B. Graham met with Plaintiff Tomlinson to discuss the aforesaid incident with Johnson. In response to Plaintiff Tomlinson's reiteration of Johnson's sexually aggressive and retaliatory conduct, M. Graham instructed Plaintiff Tomlinson to "not say anything to anybody about this," thereby once again failing to undertake any remedial action to cause Johnson's unlawful conduct to cease.
- 28. Thus, the Defendant has displayed an ongoing pattern of apathy and disinterest in preventing and correcting workplace sexual harassment.

- 29. As a result of the discriminatory and retaliatory treatment and Defendant's failure to take corrective action, the Defendant purposely impeded Plaintiff Tomlinson's ability to perform her job duties and caused Plaintiff Tomlinson to suffer from severe emotional distress.
- 30. Specifically, in or about mid-August of 2014, Plaintiff Tomlinson began to suffer symptoms of depression and post-traumatic stress disorder following Defendant's failure to undertake remedial action with respect to Johnson, necessitating her commencement of a medical leave of absence from work.
- 31. On or about September 16, 2014, as a result of the intolerable working conditions caused by Johnson, coupled with Defendant's persistent failure to undertake any remedial action in response thereto, Plaintiff Tomlinson's employment was unlawfully constructively terminated.
- 32. By way of additional information, as further evidence of Johnson's sexually aggressive conduct and Defendant's failure to take corrective action in response thereto, Johnson likewise sexually harassed Rosemarie Brunner ("Brunner"), Cashier.

  Specifically, in or about April of 2014, Plaintiff Tomlinson witnessed Johnson stalk Brunner in an intimidatory and sexually aggressive manner. Further, on one such occasion, Johnson stated to Brunner, "I want to eat your pussy off a platter." Similar to Plaintiff Tomlinson, Brunner registered a formal complaint with Tom LNU. Upon information and belief, the Defendant likewise failed to undertake any remedial action to cause Johnson's sexual harassment towards Brunner to cease.

#### **COUNT I**

# (Title VII - Hostile Work Environment Sexual Harassment and Retaliation) Plaintiff Tomlinson v. the Defendant

- 33. Plaintiff Tomlinson incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 32 of her Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 34. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants and employees, in subjecting Plaintiff Tomlinson to hostile work environment sexual harassment and retaliation, constituted violations of Title VII.
- 35. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of Title VII, Plaintiff Tomlinson sustained permanent and irreparable harm, resulting in the loss of her employment, which caused her to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 36. As a further direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of Title VII, Plaintiff Tomlinson suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

#### **COUNT II**

# (PHRA - Hostile Work Environment Sexual Harassment and Retaliation) <u>Plaintiff Tomlinson v. the Defendant</u>

37. Plaintiff Tomlinson incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36 of her Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

- 38. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants and employees, in subjecting Plaintiff Tomlinson to hostile work environment sexual harassment and retaliation, constituted violations of the PHRA.
- 39. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Tomlinson sustained permanent and irreparable harm, resulting in the loss of her employment, which caused her to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 40. As a further direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Tomlinson suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

41. Plaintiff Tomlinson incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 40 of her Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Tomlinson requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against the Defendant, and Order that:

a. Defendant compensate Plaintiff Tomlinson with a rate of pay and other benefits and emoluments of employment to which she would have been entitled had she not been subjected to unlawful discrimination and retaliation;

- b. Defendant compensate Plaintiff Tomlinson with an award of front pay, if appropriate;
- c. Defendant pay to Plaintiff Tomlinson punitive damages, compensatory damages for future pecuniary losses, pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other nonpecuniary losses as allowable;
- d. Defendant pay to Plaintiff Tomlinson, pre and post judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney and expert witness fees as allowed by law;
  - e. The Court award such other relief as is deemed just and proper.

## **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff Tomlinson demands trial by jury.

SIDNEY L. GOLD & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

/s/Sidney L. Gold, Esquire

By:

SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE I.D. NO.: 21374 TRACI M. GREENBERG, ESQUIRE I.D. NO.: 86396 1835 Market Street, Suite 515 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 569-1999

**Attorney for Plaintiff** 

DATED: August 31, 2016

## **VERIFICATION**

I hereby verify that the statements contained in the attached Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of Title 18 Pa. C.S.A. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

ROSEANNE TOMLINSON, PLAINTIFF

DATED: